Featured Weighted Automata

Uli Fahrenberg Axel Legay

École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France Inria Rennes, France

FormaliSE 2017



Elevator Pitch

- featured transition systems for modeling software product lines
 - transitions can be turned on and off depending on available features
- weighted automata for modeling quantitative systems
 - shortest path; maximum flow; energy consumption; probabilities
- here: featured weighted automata for quantitative properties of SPLs
- key lemma: A featured weighted automaton is a weighted automaton



2 Finite Runs in Semiring-Weighted Automata















Quantitative analysis of FTS:

- Cordy, Schobbens, Heymans, Legay ICSE 2013: *Beyond Boolean product-line model checking*
- Olaechea, U.F., Atlee, Legay SPLC 2016: Long-term average cost in featured transition systems
- Here: Generalization to semiring-weighted FTS
 - semiring-weighted automata for modeling and analysis of different types of quantitative properties
 - Kleene algebra
 - key lemma: An FTS on products px weighted in a semiring K is an automaton weighted in the function semiring $px \rightarrow K$

Semirings

- A semiring is a structure $(K, \oplus, \otimes, 0, 1)$ such that
 - $(K, \oplus, 0)$ is a commutative monoid,

•
$$x \oplus y = y \oplus x$$
, $x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z$, $x \oplus 0 = x$

• $(K, \otimes, 1)$ is a monoid,

•
$$x \otimes (y \otimes z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z$$
, $x \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes x = x$

- and which satisfies distributive and annihilation laws:
 - $x \otimes (y \oplus z) = x \otimes y \oplus x \otimes z$, $(x \oplus y) \otimes z = x \otimes z \oplus y \otimes z$ • $\mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} \otimes \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$

Examples:

- natural numbers: $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$
- languages over some alphabet Σ : $(2^{\Sigma^*}, \cup, \cdot, \emptyset, \{\epsilon\})$
- Boolean semiring: $({\mathbf{ff}, \mathbf{tt}}, \vee, \wedge, \mathbf{ff}, \mathbf{tt})$

Semiring-Weighted Automata

Let *K* be a semiring. A *K*-weighted automaton is a tuple S = (S, I, F, T):

- S finite set of states, $I \subseteq S$ initial, $F \subseteq S$ accepting
- $T \subseteq S \times K \times S$ finite set of transitions

An accepting path in S: finite sequence $\pi = (s_0, x_0, s_1, \dots, x_k, s_{k+1})$ of transitions $(s_0, x_0, s_1), \dots, (s_k, x_k, s_{k+1}) \in T$, with $s_0 \in I$ and $s_{k+1} \in F$

• weight of
$$\pi$$
: $w(\pi) = x_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k$

The reachability value of S:

$$|\mathcal{S}| = \bigoplus \{w(\pi) \mid \pi \text{ accepting path in } \mathcal{S}\}$$

• if this infinite sum exists in K

Semiring-Weighted Automata: Examples

Recall

• for
$$\pi = (s_0, x_0, s_1, \dots, x_k, s_{k+1})$$
: $w(\pi) = x_0 \otimes \dots \otimes x_k$
• $|\mathcal{S}| = \bigoplus \{w(\pi) \mid \pi \text{ accepting path in } \mathcal{S}\}$

Boolean semiring ({**ff**, **tt**}, \lor , \land , **ff**, **tt**):

•
$$w(\pi) = \mathbf{t}$$
 iff all $x_i = \mathbf{t}$

• $|\mathcal{S}| = \mathbf{t}$ iff an accepting state is reachable (through \mathbf{t} -labeled transitions)

Tropical semiring $(\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}, \min, +, \infty, 0)$:

•
$$w(\pi) = x_0 + \cdots + x_k$$

• |S| = minimum reachability value / shortest path

Fuzzy semiring $(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \cup \{\infty\}, \max, \min, 0, \infty)$:

- $w(\pi) = \min\{x_0, ..., x_k\}$
- $|S| = \max \min flow$

Conway Semirings

A star semiring is a semiring $(K, \oplus, \otimes, 0, 1)$ with a star operation $*: K \to K$

• intuition: \oplus for choice, \otimes for composition, * for iteration

A Conway semiring is a star semiring $(K, \oplus, \otimes, *, 0, 1)$ in which

$$(x\otimes y)^* = 1\oplus x\otimes (y\otimes x)^*\otimes y \ (x\oplus y)^* = (x^*\otimes y)^*\otimes x^*$$

encodes properties of iteration

Examples:

- Boolean: $x^* = \mathbf{t}$
- Tropical: $x^* = 0$
- Fuzzy: $x^* = \infty$

Matrix Semirings

Let K be a semiring and $n \ge 1$. The matrix semiring over K is $(K^{n \times n}, \oplus, \otimes, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1})$

• standard matrix addition and multiplication, like in linear algebra; $\mathbf{0}=$ zero matrix, $\mathbf{1}=$ identity matrix

Old theorem: If K is a Conway semiring, then so is $K^{n \times n}$

• with $M_{i,j}^* = \bigoplus_{m \ge 0} \bigoplus_{1 \le k_1, \dots, k_m \le n} M_{i,k_1} \otimes M_{k_1,k_2} \otimes \dots \otimes M_{k_m,j}$

• and for
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$
,

 $M^* = \begin{bmatrix} (a \oplus b \otimes d^* \otimes c)^* & (a \oplus b \otimes d^* \otimes c)^* \otimes b \otimes d^* \\ (d \oplus c \otimes a^* \otimes b)^* \otimes c \otimes a^* & (d \oplus c \otimes a^* \otimes b)^* \end{bmatrix}$

(recursively)

Matrix Semirings

Let K be a semiring and n > 1. The matrix semiring over K is $(K^{n\times n},\oplus,\otimes,\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1})$

 standard matrix addition and multiplication, like in linear algebra; $\mathbf{0} =$ zero matrix, $\mathbf{1} =$ identity matrix

Old theorem: If K is a Conway semiring, then so is $K^{n \times n}$

• with $M_{i,i}^* = \bigoplus M_{i,k_1} \otimes M_{k_1,k_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes M_{k_m,j}$ $m > 0 \ 1 < k_1, \dots, k_m < n$

• and for
$$M = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$
,
 $M^* = \begin{bmatrix} (a \oplus b \otimes d^* \otimes c)^* & \text{Floy}(4 \oplus b \otimes d^* \otimes c)^* \otimes b \otimes d^* \\ (d \oplus c \otimes a^* \otimes b)^* & \text{Floy}(4 \oplus c \otimes a^* \otimes b)^* \\ generalized a^* & (d \oplus c \otimes a^* \otimes b)^* \end{bmatrix}$
(recursively)

Automata Weighted in Conway Semirings

Let K be a Conway semiring

- a K-weighted automaton (with n states): $S = (\alpha, M, \kappa)$
- $\alpha \in \{0,1\}^n$ initial vector, $\kappa \in \{0,1\}^n$ accepting vector, $M \in S^{n \times n}$ transition matrix
- (equivalent to representation S = (S, I, F, T))
- Recall $|S| = \bigoplus \{w(\pi) \mid \pi \text{ accepting path in } S\}$ (if it exists in K)
- Old theorem: |S| exists in K, and $|S| = \alpha M^* \kappa$

Featured Weighted Automata

Let K be a Conway semiring and px a set of products. A featured *K*-weighted automaton is a tuple $\mathcal{F} = (S, I, F, T)$:

• S finite set of states, $I \subseteq S$ initial, $F \subseteq S$ accepting

• $T \subseteq S \times [px \to K] \times S$ finite set of transitions

Let $p \in px$. The projection of \mathcal{F} to p is the K-weighted automaton $\text{proj}_{n}(\mathcal{F}) = (S, I, F, T'), \text{ with } T' = \{(s, f(p), s') \mid (s, f, s') \in T\}$

• We are interested in the values $|\operatorname{proj}_p(\mathcal{F})|$ for all $p \in px$

Theorem: $[px \rightarrow K]$ is a Conway semiring, with $(f \oplus g)(p) = f(p) \oplus g(p), (f \otimes g)(p) = f(p) \otimes g(p), \text{ and}$ $f^*(p) = (f(p))^*$, and for all $p \in px$,

 $|\operatorname{proj}_{p}(\mathcal{F})| = |\mathcal{F}|(p)$

• family-based analysis: compute $|\mathcal{F}|$

Featured Weighted Automata, Symbolically

Recall: A featured K-weighted automaton is a tuple $\mathcal{F} = (S, I, F, T)$ with $T \subseteq S \times [p_X \to K] \times S$

• for computations in semiring $[px \rightarrow K]$, need good symbolic representation of functions $px \rightarrow K$

Let N be a set of features, so that $px \subseteq 2^N$.

- $\mathbb{B}(N)$: Boolean expressions over N (feature guards)
- for $\gamma \in \mathbb{B}(N)$: $[\gamma] =$ all products which satisfy γ
- guard partition: $P \subseteq \mathbb{B}(N)$ such that [V P] = px, $\forall \gamma \in P : \llbracket \gamma \rrbracket \neq \emptyset$, and $\forall \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2 \in P : \llbracket \gamma_1 \rrbracket \cap \llbracket \gamma_2 \rrbracket = \emptyset$

Let $GP[K] = \{f : P \to K \mid P \text{ guard partition}, \forall \gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2 \in P :$ $f(\gamma_1) \neq f(\gamma_2)$

• injective functions from guard partitions to K

Featured Weighted Automata, Computationally

 $(f\oplus g)(p)=f(p)\oplus g(p)$

function KSUM $(f_1 : P_1 \rightarrow K, f_2 : P_2 \rightarrow K)$: GP[K]1: var f'. P'2: $P' \leftarrow \emptyset$ 3: for all $\gamma_1 \in P_1$ do 4: for all $\gamma_2 \in P_2$ do 5: if $[\gamma_1 \land \gamma_2] \neq \emptyset$ then 6: $P' \leftarrow P' \cup \{\gamma_1 \land \gamma_2\}$ 7: $f'(\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2) \leftarrow f_1(\gamma_1) \oplus f_2(\gamma_2)$ 8: return KCOMBINE(f')9:

Featured Weighted Automata, Computationally

 $(f \otimes g)(p) = f(p) \otimes g(p)$

function $KP_{ROD}(f_1 : P_1 \to K, f_2 : P_2 \to K)$: GP[K]1: var f'. P'2: $P' \leftarrow \emptyset$ 3: for all $\gamma_1 \in P_1$ do 4: for all $\gamma_2 \in P_2$ do 5: if $[\gamma_1 \land \gamma_2] \neq \emptyset$ then 6: $P' \leftarrow P' \cup \{\gamma_1 \land \gamma_2\}$ 7: $f'(\gamma_1 \wedge \gamma_2) \leftarrow f_1(\gamma_1) \otimes f_2(\gamma_2)$ 8: return KCOMBINE(f')9:

Featured Weighted Automata, Computationally

 $f^*(p) = (f(p))^*$

- 1: function KSTAR $(f : P \rightarrow K)$: GP[K]
- 2: **var** *f* ′
- 3: for all $\gamma \in P$ do
- 4: $f'(\gamma) \leftarrow f(\gamma)^*$
- 5: **return** KCOMBINE(f')

Featured Weighted Automata, Computationally

1: function
$$K$$
COMBINE $(f : P \to K)$: $GP[K]$

2: Var
$$f$$
, P
3: $\tilde{P} \leftarrow \emptyset$
4: while $P \neq \emptyset$ do
5: Pick and remove γ from P
6: $x \leftarrow f(\gamma)$
7: for all $\delta \in P$ do
8: if $f(\delta) = x$ then
9: $\gamma \leftarrow \gamma \lor \delta$
10: $P \leftarrow P \setminus \{\delta\}$
11: $\tilde{P} \leftarrow \tilde{P} \cup \{\gamma\}$

11:
$$P \leftarrow P \cup \{\gamma \\ 12: \quad \tilde{f}(\gamma) \leftarrow x$$

13: return
$$\tilde{f}: \tilde{P} \to K$$

Conclusion

- family-based analysis of featured weighted automata is easy in theory
 - because featured weighted automata are weighted automata
- in practice:
 - Cordy, Schobbens, Heymans, Legay ICSE 2013: featured shortest paths
 - Olaechea, U.F., Atlee, Legay SPLC 2016: featured long-term average
- both show that family-based analysis is better than product-based
 - but not always, and not much
 - problem: partition splitting

International Workshop on Methods and Tools for Distributed Hybrid Systems Aalborg, Denmark, 25-26 August 2017, associated with MFCS 2017

The purpose of DHS is to connect people working in *real-time* systems, *hybrid* systems, *control* theory, *distributed* computing, and *concurrency*, in order to advance the subject of **distributed hybrid systems**.

Distributed hybrid systems, or distributed *cyber-physical* systems, are abundant, but ensuring their correct functioning is very difficult. We believe that convergence and interaction of methods and tools from different areas of *computer science*, *engineering*, and *mathematics* is needed in order to advance the subject.

This first edition of the DHS workshop aims at gathering researchers which work in the above areas in order to facilitate collaboration and discuss how the subject may advance.

Martin Fränzle Oldenburg, Germany Kim G. Larsen Aalborg, Denmark Sergio Rajsbaum Mexico City, Mexico Martin Raussen Aalborg, Denmark Rafael Wisniewski Aalborg, Denmark

Invited Speakers

http://dhs.gforge.inria.fr/